

Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

By Halev Wakoff and Sofia Windmiller

Abstract

The results were collected from Van Cortlandt Lake, which was founded off from 18th-century Dutch landowners, which makes this lake an isolated ecosystem. The samples were collected from two different locations around the lake. The samples collected were used to show the biodiversity of submerged aquatic vegetation. The objectives of this experiment was to see the biodiversity of submerged aquatic vegetation and which component correlate with the results. The methods we used were DNA extraction and amplification, the DNA was then shipped to the Urban Riverside Program as he sequenced. The procedures were based on the Urban Riverside Program website. The results showed that the species were in North America and that during the last 100 years it was hard to locate any connections from the results. However, the findings were as expected, but the information collected was limited because it can be linked to biodiversity.

Methods and Materials

The samples were collected from two different spots around the lake. The samples were pulled from Elinor's Brook, which drains from Van Cortlandt Lake. The water was then the plants had to be separate and in the lake. Once the samples were collected, they were separated by location and species and the tissue samples were placed in a bag and when the DNA extraction will begin, the samples will be ground up and 10ml of 100% ethanol will be added. Next, the samples will be in a water bath for 10 minutes at 65°C, then it was centrifuged for one minute at maximum speed. Next, the supernatant was transferred to a clean tube and ethanol was added, mixed well, and the tube was placed in a hot water bath at 77°C for 2 minutes. Then it was centrifuged for 10 seconds at top speed. And once again the supernatant was transferred to a new tube and 100µl of each buffer is added, mixed and centrifuged for 10 seconds at maximum speed, and repeated. After transferring the supernatant to a fresh tube, 100µl of distilled water was added, and the mixture was centrifuged for 10 seconds. The supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube, and it was stored at -20°C until it was time for the next step. After the DNA has been sequenced, it was placed in the Bioreactor and the appropriate protocol was used to amplify it. Once again, it was stored at negative twenty degrees Celsius. Next, the presence of DNA in our samples needed to be confirmed by gel electrophoresis. Gel was prepared and was set after twenty minutes to be confirmed by gel electrophoresis. Gel was prepared and thirty volts for thirty minutes. The samples that resulted in DNA were sent to be sequenced at Urban Riverside Program.

Introduction

The question asked in this experiment was, what is the biodiversity of submerged vegetation in Van Cortlandt Park? More specifically, the biodiversity in Elinor's Brook. The factors that could have affected the diversity was, did the rain? Was the golf course affect the plant growth? Did the fact that the pond was man made limit the diversity of the species inhabiting it? Did an ecosystem develop over time? Were all of the plants collected native to New York? The hypothesis tested was: The plants collected are all native to North America. Since the samples were collected in the end of October, which means the weather was colder, therefore many plant species had died, leaving little diversity in the lake because only certain plants were able to survive till in colder weather.

Discussion

The results showed that the submerged vegetation that was collected in water in Elinor's Brook, also was impacted according to the hypothesis. These results are important because it shows that a new world was founded, in a natural way made lake. The surprising thing about this experiment is that not a lot of DNA was that was collected during the gel electrophoresis. These results were more concentrated in water from the center of the lake was more to reach all of. There are some possible reasons that could have affected the results of the DNA, including: Some of the samples could have occurred in the collection of DNA, which includes: not getting enough, accidentally disturbing the gel, and having one sample to have obtained the results to be an amplification of the DNA, not all of the wells and not the gel.

All the samples collected only this occurred in DNA. These two samples were all different species. Although, some of the samples had more than one DNA, which means the required species the sample contains with, the samples still showed very small and it may not be very low. Sample three is a sample from downtown, currently, there is no native vegetation, which is currently known as a lot. This sample did not have any DNA in the DNA. Sample four was a sample from a park, which is currently known as Florida. This sample had only one sequence, which was a higher sequence, which is currently known as Pseudomonas. This sample had one sequence that had a lower sequence than some of the other samples. Sample five came back in higher sequence, which is currently known as Pseudomonas. This sample had one sequence that is significantly higher than some of the other samples, and still had a 1.0 x 10⁶ count.

References

"Van Cortlandt Park." Van Cortlandt Park Highlights. The City of New York. 2017. <http://www.nyc.gov/van-cortlandt-park>.
"Urban Riverside Program." Urban Riverside Program. The City of New York. 2017. <http://www.nyc.gov/urban-riverside-program>.
"DNA Extraction and Amplification." Urban Riverside Program. The City of New York. 2017. <http://www.nyc.gov/urban-riverside-program>.



Results



Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge and thank Dr. David Waxman for helping us throughout our experiment. We truly could not have completed this without him. We would also like to thank C. Brandon Marlow and Ben Lee for their help with any questions we had. Lastly, we would like to thank the Urban Riverside Program for making this experiment possible, and providing us with the necessary equipment and materials.

